

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,784	07/09/2003	Akihiro Yamamoto	2003_0945A	8585	
513	7590 05/05/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ГН, LIND & PONAC	KOCH, GEORGE R			
2033 K STREET N. W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006-1021	1734			

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/614	4.784	YAMAMOTO ET	· AL.			
		Exami		Art Unit				
	,		e R. Koch III	1734				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun				nddress			
Period for	Reply							
THE MA - Extension after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ons of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 priod for reply is specified above, the maximum sto to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 80) days, a reply within the fautuory period will apply are will by statute cause the	o event, however, may a statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered tim NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lely. communication.			
Status		1.1						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>4212</u> 004						
2a)□ T	his action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
	ince this application is in condition	for allowance exc	ept for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to t	he merits is			
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	n of Claims							
•		analiaatian			F			
	claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the		n from considera					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	laim(s) is/are allowed.				, 6 %			
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	on requirement.					
	•				No.			
Applicatio	•							
	ne specification is objected to by the			hu the Eveniner				
10)∐ Ti	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
۱۱ لـــا(۱۱	ne dant of declaration is objected to	to by the Examiner	, rioto trio ditaoni					
•	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a clain	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠	•							
1	. Certified copies of the priority			A P. C. Ala				
_	Certified copies of the priority				al Stago			
3	. Copies of the certified copies			in received in this ivation	ai Stage			
* 0 -	application from the Internati ee the attached detailed Office acti			at received				
" Se	e the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the t	serunca copies no					
Attachment(s	s)		_	•				
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO 049)		/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (F	°TO-152)			
Paper I	No(s)/Mail Date 7/9/2003		6)	•				

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of group II, claim 8 in the response mailed 4/21/2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not state whether the election is with or without traverse, or distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 1734

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang (US 6,023,666) in view of Ciardella (US Patent 5,711,989)

Jiang discloses a circuit electrode bonding apparatus, in this case, a chip to leadframe mounting apparatus, comprising a measuring section for measuring a size of a bonding portion of a circuit electrode (Figure 6, items 206 and 208), a comparing section for comparing the measured size of the bonding portion with a design size of the bonding portion (items 62 and 64 - see also Figure 5, see also column 6, lines 10-26, as well as columns 4-5), and a size determination section for determining a processing size of the bonding material based on a comparison result (also in items 62 and 64 - see steps 112 and 114 in Figure 5). Jiang also discloses a processing section (item 62 - see column 4, lines 48-53) for processing the bonding material to the processing size and a mounting section (item 70) for mounting the processed bonding material over the bonding portion (in this case, by dispensing the adhesive - see column 4, lines 48-52)

Jiang does not disclose a location determination section for determining the location of the bonding portion based on the comparison result.

Ciardella discloses that it is known in dispensing of adhesive materials to use additional measurement techniquies (such as camera 18 and vision circuit 44) in order to function as a location determination section for determining the location of the bonding portion. Such information can be fed into a central controller (computer 18) in

Art Unit: 1734

combination with other data (such as the data from Yamanaka) in order to achieve faster coating (see column 4, lines 20-22) and to optimize the placement of the adhesive (see column 4, lines 55-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized the location determination section of Ciardella in conjunction with the data from Jiang (such as the comparison result) in order to optimize placement of the adhesive materials as disclosed in Jiang.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Koch III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1230 (TDD only). If the applicant cannot make a direct TDD-to-TDD call, the applicant can communicate by calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 and giving the operator the above TDD number. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R. Koch III Patent Examiner Art Unit 1734

GRK May 2nd, 2004